



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,097	01/29/2002	James Friskel	200400110-1	1197
22879 7590 10/27/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, BA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

mkraft@hp.com

ipa.mail@hp.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/058,097

Applicant(s)

FRISKEL, JAMES

Examiner

Ba Huynh

Art Unit

2179

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2008.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-32, 34-44 and 46 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-32, 34-44, 46 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5108)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-32, 34-44, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent application 2002/0070978 (Wishoff et al), further in view of US patent 6,292,185 (Ko et al).

- As for claims 29, 35, 41: Wishoff et al (hereinafter Wishoff) teach a method and corresponding system for creating and modifying a graphical user interface of a network connected computer using a graphic file (0114) and configuration file (0115) pair, comprising:
 - accessing the graphic file (0114), wherein the graphic file comprises the full extent and external boundary of the graphical user interface (each graphic object is defined by full extend of screen coordinates 0038),
 - accessing the configuration file (0115, 0121), the configuration file comprises header information, style points and activation region definitions (Appendix A),
 - modifying data in the graphic file and configuration file pair to effect the creation and modification of the GUI (0123).

- Wishoff's teaching of OLE, Activex, COM (0026) appears to inherently include the implementation of importing DLL files into a process running on a computer system and the initiation of a recursive use of respective graphic files and configuration file pairs. Even if it is not, implementation of importing DLL files into a process running on a computer system and the initiation of a recursive use of the files is disclosed by Ko et al (Ko's 5:22-25, 7:10-17). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Ko's teaching of implementation of DLL to activation region definition for importing DLL files into a process running on a computer system and the initiation of a recursive use of the files respective graphic files and configuration file pairs. Motivation of the combining is for the well known advantages of conserving memory until being used, easy program maintenance, and recursive usage. Wishoff fails to clearly teach executing a graphic engine process on the user computer, however Ko teaches the local storing of the graphic file and the configuration file at the user computer and processing the customization of the GUI at the user computer (5:45-64, 6:27-34). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Ko's teaching of storing the graphic file and the configuration file at the user computer and processing the customization of the GUI at the user computer, motivation of the combining is for providing user control of the editing and also providing less computation stress at the server. The customized graphic file and configuration file are updated with the server (Ko's 8:30-37).

- As for claims 30, 36, 42: The activation of a GUI element points to the corresponding files corresponding a second image of the GUI element wherein the second image is defined in another computer file (see appendix A).
- As for claims 32, 38, 44: The configuration file is read for processing the graphic file (0011, 0012, 0067, 0115).
- As for claims 34, 40, 46: The state of the GUI is selected from a group consisting default state, selected state, and activated state (appendix A, page 13, See the teaching of menu button including upimage, downimage, rolloverimage).
- As for claims 31, 37, 43: Wishoff's figure 3 shows a plurality of GUI elements having different shape and size. However Wishoff fails to clearly teach defining the polygon corresponding to external boundary of the graphical image wherein the polygon comprises a non-rectangular irregular shape. However in the same field of invention Ko et al teach the implementation of the polygon having a non-rectangular irregular shape (Ko's 6:55-60, 8:10-11). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to combine Ko's teaching of the polygon comprises a non-rectangular irregular shape for graphical enhancement of the GUI appearance. Wishoff's figure 3 further shows the graphical elements with imaginative use of different color, thus partitioning the graphical image into transparent and visible color would have been obvious in light of Wishoff's teaching (0034).
- As for claim 39: Wishoff fails to clearly teach that at least one of the group consisting of the graphics file and configuration file is updated dynamically by a server computer couple to the computer system. However in the same field of

invention Ko et al teach the implementation of dynamically updating at least one of the group consisting of the graphics file and configuration file (Ko's 5:52-64). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Ko's teaching of dynamically updating at least a group consisting of the graphics file and configuration file by a server. Motivation is for dynamically updating the files.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Remarks: In response to the argument that Ko does not teach updating the graphic file and the configuration file with the server, the limitation is clearly disclosed by Ko in 8:34-38, wherein graphic file default.xtd and configuration file default.xtc are updated with the server.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US patent 6,509,913 which teaches a graphic file and configuration file stored at the user terminal and for customizing a GUI at the user terminal (see table 1, table 2, and claim 1).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4138. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2179

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ba Huynh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179